Approved For Release 2003/05/06: CIA-RDP86B00269R000500g

DRAFT - 21 February/PC

MEMORANDUM FOR: CONSIDERATION BY THE DIRECTOR

(To be incorporated as a section in the Director's reply to

the Executive Secretary of the NSC, re the Dulles Report)

SUBJECTY

The Dulles Report

1. Throughout the Dulles Report there are many references to the Intelligence Advisory Committee, but only seven conclusions and recommendations pertaining thereto. In view of the fact that there are still divergent views on the functions of this committee, as will be noted from the individual reports on the Bulles Report from the individual members of the IAC. especially the report on this subject submitted by the Naval member, I should like to subsit herewith my views on the IAC.

In paragraph 3 on page 63 it is recommended that the Federal Bureau of Investigation become a member of the Intelligence Advisory Committee. I have no objection whatsoever to this, but would point out that the present charter of the TAC permits me to invite to TAC meetings agencies in addition to the permanent members when the subject matter to be discussed is of interest to additional agencies. This seems to me to be adequate.

The FBI was invited to meetings of the old IAB, but in 19he discontinued attending these meetings. It is thought that the FBI would not now care to join the IAC as a permanent member, but I shall be glad to invite it to participate on a permanent basis if the National Security Council approves of this SECRET re commendation in the Report.

Approved For Release 2003/05/06: CIA-RDP86B00269R000500020049-1

As stated in paragraph 5 on page 63, I agree that the IAC is soundly conceived as an advisory committee. Movedays it is meeting regularly and more frequently for coordination purposes and there is more joint preparation of estimates for briefings of the Secretary of Defense, the AEC, Senate and House Reports, etc.

Paragraph 6 on Page 63 which recommends the premanent membership of the IAC adds to its present complement the FBI, but omits the JIG and AEC as regular members. As stated above I have no objection to the FBI, but I am opposed to dropping the JIG and AEC as regular members.

Central Intelligence these estimates should be submitted for discussion and approval by the reconstituted Intelligence Advisory Committee whose members should assume collective responsibility for them." I maintain that most of the CIA estimates which are not of a current urgent nature are now discussed with the IAC Agencies, but not necessarily with the IAC members themselves or with all of them together at the same time. Instructions have been issued that just as many estimates as possible should be discussed in advance of publication with the IAC Agencies, and even if they are of an urgent nature, this practice should be followed, and that laxness in this regard should not continue on the part of CIA. It is believed that when a concurrence is received from an IAC Agency that constituted a part of the collective responsibility, but on this point there

Approved For Release 2003/05/06: CIA-RDP86B00269R000500020 CRET

applies not only to the suggested changes of membership in the IAC, but also to the suggestions in the Report that the members of the IAC should more actively participate in substantive intelligence. So long as it remains an advisory committee, I think it would be well to have more frequent mentings to discuss substantive intelligence and I am endeavoring to follow this practice newadays.

It is, however, quite unrealistic to expect the IAC members themselves to meet and give personal consideration to every intelligence estimate which is issued. If these estimates are given consideration on the working levels in the different IAC Agencies, or when urgent by the IAC Agency that has the dominant interest in the matter under consideration, I consider that this is the best practicable discussion that can take place, and that an agreement in such a coordinated effort does indicate "collective responsibility." Where there is non-concurrence in such estimates the dissent is published with the estimate.

in a method of more expeditiously handling crisis situations than in the past.

A difficulty on this score is that the intelligence agencies are not always supplied with "Eyes Only" communications which report such situations. If the intelligence agencies do not receive such communications which come into their departments they are naturally unable to take action thereon.

I agree with paragraph 6 on page 31 that coordinated intelligence estimates

Approved For Release 2003/05/06: CIA-RDP86B00269R0005000200

Approved For Release 2003/05/06 : CIA-RDP86B00269R00050002

should be recognized as the most authoritative estimates, but of course cannot assure how they will be received by the recipient after they have been produced and disseminated by CIA.

mith regard to paragraph 5 on page 119 the Central Intelligence Agency does now assist in the military estimates of the JIC and the JIC can dissent from CIA estimates through the military departments or through their representative on the IAC. I think this coordination is now working effectively.



With regard to the many comments throughout the Esport about CIA's position with reference to the IAC, I should like to submit the following comments thereon.

coordinating action under existing directives and also directives establishing more precisely the responsibility of the various intelligence agencies."

If directives were fully implemented there undoubtedly would be better coordination. The MSGID's, in accordance with the existing procedures were recommended to the Maticual Security Council by the Director after they had received unanimous approval by the IAC Agencies. Heretofor these agencies have objected to defining "precisely" the scope and limits of departmental intelligence activity, and have granted to CIA, under paragraph 2 of MSCID 1 authority to inspect only intelligence material.

prepares an initial draft of an estimate, i.s., preparation vs. assembly of intelligence reports. If the IAC Agencies were willing and able to meet dead lines it night be unnecessary for CIA to prepare the initial draft of meny reports. Under the present organization, however, the IAC Agencies are responsible to their different departments, and not to the Director of Central Intelligence, so they have different priorities with regard to their own departments.

work rather than the interdepartmental CIA work. It has been found necessary, therefore, to prepare the initial draft of an estimate in CIA and obtain the concurrence or non-concurrence of the IAC Agencies, rather than to assemble the final estimate after receiving contributions from all of the IAC Agencies. This is doubly true in urgent matters where an estimate is requested of CIA on short notice.

It is pointed out on pages 8 and 9 of the Report that scientific intelligence has not heretofor been adequately developed. An Office of Scientific Intelligence, however, has already been established within CIA to improve this field of intelligence, and MCCID No. 10 about collection of foreign scientific and technological data was issued on January 19, 1919.

With regard to the general discussion about the IAC on pages h3 to h5,

I am opposed to the IAC becoming a Board of Governors or a Board of Hanagers

(see comments of the Naval member), as I consider this is contrary to the

provisions of the Hational Security Act of 19h7, and a wholly improbable solution

to a centralized intelligence agency. If responsibility of the IAC were increased

to this extent it would be quite contrary to the tenor of this Report which em
phasizes so frequently throughout, the desirability of having strong central

Aux. Title

discussions for the coordination of intelligence matters. As an advisory

consisted, I believe that it is of great value and I am thoroughly in agreement

with the statement on page h5 that interdepartmental coordination of intelligence

cannot be achieved solely by directives and without the fullest cooperation of the interested departments. I am also in agreement with the statement on page 5 of the Report: "It should be emphasized that coordination can most effectively be achieved by mutual agreement among the various agencies."

As stated on page 69, national intelligence should be prepared on all available information and be prepared with full knowledge of our own plans and in the light of our own policy requirements. However, CIA does not now receive all such information and the intelligence agencies themselves, as pointed out above, do not get all of this information within their own departments.

